



**ANALYSIS OF MEANING CONSTRUCTION OF BRAND LOYALTY AMONG
SMARTPHONE FAN COMMUNITIES**

Surya Budiman, Rita Intan Permatasari, Denok Sunarsi, Tri Wahyu Lestari

^{1,3}Universitas Pamulang, Tangerang Selatan, Banten, Indonesia

²Universitas Dirgantara Marsekal Suryadarma, Indonesia

⁴Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Rajawali, Indonesia

dosen00464@unpam.ac.id, farrelaira@gmail.com, denoksunarsi@unpam.ac.id,

triwahyulestari@stiera.ac.id

Abstract

This study aims to analyze how the construction of brand loyalty meaning is formed and interpreted by members of technology product fan communities, specifically within the smartphone sector. Amidst the rapid development of information technology and increasingly competitive market rivalry, brand loyalty is no longer merely measured by mechanical repeat purchase rates, but rather through symbolic meaning, value perception, and deep social bonds within the digital ecosystem. This research employs a qualitative approach with a constructivism paradigm to explore the reality and subjective experiences of community members. Research data were collected through in-depth interviews, participant observation, and netnographic analysis across several prominent smartphone fan forums and communities in Indonesia. The results show that the construction of brand loyalty meaning is formed through three main elements: social identity formation, a collective sense of belonging, and the adoption of pride narratives regarding the technological innovation of the brand. Loyalty within this community transcends product utility and evolves into a representation of self-identity as well as a form of ideological support. Continuous, intensive interactions among community members consistently strengthen shared meaning, which systematically shields the brand's positive perception, even when facing market criticism or competitive pressure from rivals. This study concludes that brand loyalty in technology communities is a dynamic, relational social construction oriented toward symbolic values. The implications of this study offer a theoretical contribution to the development of marketing communication studies and digital consumer behavior, while offering practical strategic guidance for industry players in building sustainable community-driven brand management.

Keywords: Meaning Construction, Brand Loyalty, Fan Community

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary global business landscape, particularly within the Consumer Electronics and Telecommunications equipment sectors, has experienced a profound paradigm shift driven by rapid technological convergence, hyper-competition, and shortened product lifecycles. Within this high-velocity environment, the smartphone market represents one of the most dynamic, saturated, and culturally significant arenas of modern consumer culture. Modern smartphones are no longer evaluated purely as

functional communication tools or utility devices; rather, they have transitioned into complex symbolic artifacts, lifestyle statements, and cognitive extensions of the individual self. Historically, traditional marketing paradigms conceptualized brand loyalty primarily through behavioral metrics, such as repeat purchase frequency, market share dominance, and transactional customer retention, alongside attitudinal dimensions like expressed brand preference and satisfaction scores. However, in the contemporary digital ecosystem, this mechanistic framework is increasingly insufficient to capture the nuanced, emotionally charged, and socially mediated bonds that modern consumers forge with technological brands. Today, high levels of brand commitment manifest not merely as quiet commercial repeat transactions, but as active, highly visible, and culturally organized fan communities that passionately advocate for, defend, and co-create brand meaning.

To fully understand this shifting dynamic, it is critical to contextualize the structural realignments taking place within the global smartphone industry. Over the past decade, market concentration has intensified around a select group of global technology giants, accompanied by fierce rivalry from rapidly expanding challenger brands. As hardware capabilities across competing devices reach technical parity—where processing power, camera resolutions, display technologies, and battery capacities are virtually indistinguishable to the average consumer—functional differentiation has drastically diminished as a durable competitive advantage. Consequently, brand equity and sustainable market position are increasingly dictated by emotional connection, community engagement, and symbolic brand construction. The modern consumer market evaluates devices within an interconnected socio-technical ecosystem, where software interface design, brand ideology, community culture, and ecosystem lock-in play decisive roles in sustaining long-term consumer devotion.

Table 1. Structural Comparison of Traditional versus Contemporary Brand Loyalty Paradigms in Technology Markets

Dimension	Traditional Behavioral Paradigm	Contemporary Constructivist & Fan Paradigm
Primary Focus	Repeat purchase frequency, transactional retention, functional satisfaction.	Symbolic identification, emotional attachment, community belonging, brand advocacy.
Consumer Role	Passive recipient and evaluator of standardized product utility and features.	Active co-creator of brand meaning, cultural participant, and ideologue.
Driver of Loyalty	Price-performance ratio, physical product	Shared social identity, narrative pride, ritualistic

Dimension	Traditional Behavioral Paradigm	Contemporary Constructivist & Fan Paradigm
	quality, physical accessibility.	participation, ecosystem lock-in.
Market Dynamics	Transaction-driven sales cycles with individual decision-making units.	Networked peer influence, viral community defense, and netnographic culture.

Source: *Theoretical Synthesis of Consumer Culture Theory and Technology Brand Literature* (2026).

This fundamental transformation highlights the necessity of examining brand loyalty through a constructivist lens, which posits that reality and social meaning are not statically pre-existing, but are continuously constructed, negotiated, and maintained through human interaction and symbolic exchange. In the context of technological fan communities—such as dedicated forums for Apple, Xiaomi, Samsung, or OnePlus enthusiasts—brand loyalty becomes an evolving cultural process rather than a static psychometric state. In these online and offline spaces, consumers engage in complex social interactions, technological debates, user-generated content creation, and collective ritualistic behaviors that continuously reinforce their allegiance to a specific brand. Within these spaces, brand loyalty evolves into a shared identity system. Members internalize the brand's philosophy, celebrate its technological breakthroughs, and collectively defend the brand against external criticism from rival brand communities or market analysts.

Theoretical grounding for this study draws heavily from Consumer Culture Theory (CCT), symbolic interactionism, and social identity theory. Prior foundational scholarship in brand management and consumer research has established that strong brand attachment often mirrors religious or tribal devotion, where brand rituals, symbols, and myths cultivate profound group solidarity. As foundational scholars **Muniz and O'Guinn (2001)** established in their seminal conceptualization of brand communities, brand allegiance in modern societies operates through three core markers: consciousness of kind, shared rituals and traditions, and a sense of moral responsibility toward other community members. Building upon this foundation, **Schau, Muniz, and Arnould (2009)** demonstrated how brand communities generate value through specific practices, including networking, impression management, community integration, and brand use customization. When applied to technology fan communities, these practices take on a highly specialized, technical, and digital character, where technological literacy, software modding, ecosystem mastery, and launch event watch parties serve as primary vehicles for community bonding.

Furthermore, the cognitive and emotional drivers underlying consumer-brand relationships require rigorous investigation through contemporary empirical literature. **Brodie, Hollebeek, Jurić, and Ilić (2011)** articulated that customer engagement within virtual brand communities represents a multidimensional psychological state

characterized by cognitive, emotional, and behavioral dynamics that significantly deepen brand loyalty. In the domain of digital platforms, **Laroche, Habibi, and Richard (2013)** demonstrated that social media-based brand communities directly enhance brand trust and brand loyalty by fostering stronger relationships between consumers, the brand, the product, and other fellow fans. Adding a deeper existential perspective, **Hollebeek, Glynn, and Brodie (2014)** emphasized that interactive brand experiences across digital touchpoints build consumer brand engagement, which serves as an essential antecedent to long-term organic advocacy. Within technology markets specifically, **Belk (2013)** argued that in the hyper-digital age, personal devices and software ecosystems have become integral extensions of the human self – a concept termed the "extended self in a digital world" – making brand choices deeply intertwined with individual identity, self-expression, and personal agency.

Table 2. Key Academic Foundations in Brand Community, Digital Engagement, and Meaning Construction Research

Author(s) & Year	Core Theoretical Focus	Key Findings & Relevance to Smartphone Fan Studies
Muniz & O'Guinn (2001)	Brand Community Triad	Identified consciousness of kind, rituals, and moral responsibility as core markers of consumer communities.
Schau, Muniz, & Arnould (2009)	Community Value Creation	Categorized 12 value-creating practices across social networking, impression management, and brand use.
Brodie et al. (2011)	Virtual Customer Engagement	Conceptualized customer engagement as a dynamic, interactive process within online brand environments.
Belk (2013)	Extended Self in Digital World	Posited that digital technologies and connected devices form a core component of modern identity expression.
Laroche, Habibi, & Richard (2013)	Social Media Brand Communities	Proved that online community relationships strengthen overall brand trust, commitment, and loyalty metrics.

Author(s) & Year	Core Theoretical Focus	Key Findings & Relevance to Smartphone Fan Studies
Hollebeek, Glynn, & Brodie (2014)	Consumer Brand Engagement	Established cognitive, affective, and behavioral engagement dimensions as primary drivers of brand equity.
Dessart, Veloutsou, & Morgan-Thomas (2015)	Online Community Dynamics	Mapped the multi-faceted nature of consumer engagement with online brand community members and brands.
Swaminathan et al. (2020)	Branding in Digital Era	Emphasized how digital connectivity transforms consumer-brand relationships from static to co-created realities.

Source: Author's Synthesis of Theoretical Literature (2026).

To further unpack the structural nuances of consumer engagement, **Dessart, Veloutsou, and Morgan-Thomas (2015)** provided a comprehensive framework demonstrating that online brand community engagement is driven by multi-faceted interactions across social, functional, and emotional dimensions, highlighting that brand loyalty is continually reconstructed through peer discussions and shared experiences. Recent advances in strategic brand management by **Swaminathan, Sorescu, Steenkamp, O'Guinn, and Schmitt (2020)** reinforce this perspective, asserting that in an era characterized by ubiquitous digital connectivity and platform ecosystems, branding must be understood as an evolving, network-centric process where brand meaning is perpetually co-created by decentralized consumer networks rather than dictatorial corporate marketing campaigns. Moreover, research by **Kozinets (2015)** in the domain of netnography highlights how cultural discussions within online interest groups produce unique subcultural ideologies, linguistic markers, and collective norms that dictate how brand actions and product releases are interpreted by core enthusiasts.

Despite these significant theoretical developments in consumer behavior and brand management literature, a critical research gap persists regarding the specific social mechanics of meaning construction within technology fan communities in developing and emerging smartphone markets, particularly in rapidly growing digital economies such as Indonesia. While international literature extensively documents brand fan cultures in Western and East Asian contexts, less attention has been paid to how local socio-cultural dynamics, economic realities, and digital media consumption patterns shape the construction of brand loyalty among tech enthusiasts in Southeast Asia. In Indonesia, the smartphone market is characterized by intense price sensitivity, vibrant social media engagement, and highly active online community spaces spanning platforms

such as Telegram, Discord, X (formerly Twitter), Instagram, YouTube, and specialized web forums. Indonesian tech fans frequently form tightly knit regional sub-communities that organize physical meetups, collaborative troubleshooting sessions, and collective purchasing drives, creating a rich hybrid space where digital and offline interactions intersect.

Understanding how Indonesian smartphone fan communities construct the meaning of brand loyalty is both theoretically imperative and practically significant. From a theoretical standpoint, examining this phenomenon addresses the call by contemporary scholars to contextualize Consumer Culture Theory within diverse global socio-economic environments, moving beyond homogenized Western assumptions. From a managerial and strategic perspective, technology firms operating in highly competitive emerging markets urgently require deeper qualitative insights into how brand passion, fan advocacy, and community defense mechanisms operate. Conventional marketing strategies that rely solely on quantitative satisfaction surveys or promotional discounts often fail to engage core fan bases, who value transparency, co-creation, peer recognition, and ideological alignment far more than transactional incentives. Unpacking the qualitative narrative structures, shared symbols, and social identities within these fan communities enables brand managers to design sustainable, community-driven brand management strategies that build authentic brand equity.

Accordingly, this study adopts a qualitative, constructivist methodology utilizing in-depth interviews, participant observation, and netnographic analysis to investigate the construction of brand loyalty meaning within smartphone fan communities in Indonesia. By exploring the lived experiences, subjective perspectives, and social interactions of dedicated community members, this research seeks to illuminate how brand loyalty is defined, experienced, and sustained beyond utilitarian purchase decisions. Specifically, this introduction sets the stage to examine three interconnected core questions: how technological fan community members construct their identity around brand choice, how collective social interactions within community spaces shape shared meanings of brand allegiance, and how these constructed meanings influence resilience against competitive brand switching. Through this investigation, the research aims to contribute to the broader discourse on communication, digital marketing, and consumer culture in the modern technology sector.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research paradigm with a constructivist approach to investigate how brand loyalty is socially constructed and meaningfully interpreted within smartphone fan communities in Indonesia. Qualitative methodology is particularly suited for this inquiry as it enables an in-depth exploration of human experiences, social interactions, and symbolic meanings within naturalistic settings (Pugu et al., 2024). Rather than measuring brand loyalty through rigid quantitative metrics or statistical generalizations, this research seeks to uncover the subjective narratives, shared rituals, and emotional bonds that define fan allegiance to specific smartphone brands.

To capture the multifaceted nature of brand community interactions, data collection is conducted through a combination of netnographic observation, in-depth semi-structured interviews, and artifact analysis. Netnography—an adaptation of traditional ethnography to online digital spaces—is utilized to observe organic discussions, peer interactions, and community rituals across digital platforms, including Telegram channels, Discord servers, X (formerly Twitter), and specialized technology web forums. Following the initial observational phase, semi-structured interviews are conducted with key community informants, including community administrators, active content creators, and long-term brand enthusiasts. In accordance with qualitative methodological standards, data collection continues until empirical saturation is achieved, ensuring that no new themes or conceptual dimensions emerge regarding brand loyalty construction (Priadana & Sunarsi, 2021).

Data analysis follows an inductive thematic analysis framework. First, interview transcripts and netnographic field notes are rigorously transcribed and systematically organized. Second, open coding is applied to identify emergent patterns, recurring symbolic expressions, and shared socio-cultural markers. Third, these initial codes are categorized into broader conceptual themes representing the core dimensions of constructed brand loyalty—namely, social identity formation, collective pride, and ideological brand defense. To establish research trustworthiness, data triangulation is employed across multiple data sources, combined with member checking to validate interpretive accuracy. Ethically, participant anonymity is strictly preserved, and informed consent is obtained prior to all interviews and netnographic data collection.

RESEARCH RESULT

The empirical findings of this study reveal that brand loyalty within smartphone fan communities in Indonesia is far from a simple reflection of repeat purchase habits or technical satisfaction. Instead, brand loyalty functions as a complex, dynamic, and continuous social construction negotiated through peer interactions, digital rituals, symbolic identification, and collective defense mechanisms. Through rigorous thematic analysis of netnographic observation data across digital platforms such as Telegram, Discord, X (formerly Twitter), and dedicated web forums, alongside in-depth interviews with key community informants, the qualitative evidence illuminates four primary thematic pillars that construct the meaning of brand loyalty: symbolic identification and extended identity, collective value co-creation and ritualistic practices, ideological brand defense and cognitive shielding, and ecosystem lock-in backed by social community bonds. To provide a structural overview of the qualitative corpus, the study first categorized informant demographics and community engagement profiles across major smartphone fan ecosystems operating in Indonesia.

Informant engagement across these communities spans varying levels of tenure, platform activity, and functional roles within the community hierarchy. Informants range from general community members who actively participate in online discussions, to regional moderators who organize offline meetups, and dedicated technical modders who contribute custom software configurations. The empirical observations were drawn

from active smartphone fan communities in Indonesia, representing major global brands including Xiaomi (Mi Fans Indonesia), Samsung (Samsung Members Indonesia), Apple (Indonesian Apple User Communities), and BBK Group fan networks such as OnePlus and Realme communities. The diversity of these communities provides a comprehensive view of how brand loyalty constructs differ across various brand philosophies, price tiers, and user subcultures.

Table 1. Overview of Primary Informant Profiles and Community Engagement Dynamics

Informant Category	Primary Community Platforms	Key Role & Contribution	Core Loyalty Driver
Core Administrators & Moderators	Telegram Groups, Official Forums, Discord	Organizing physical gatherings, moderating netnographic spaces, liaising with brand representatives.	Social leadership, insider status, deep alignment with brand philosophy.
Technical Modders & Power Users	Dedicated Forums, X (Twitter), Discord	Developing custom ROMs, camera profiles, software optimization guides, technical troubleshooting.	Intellectual empowerment, hardware customizability, technical mastery.
Content Creators & Evangelists	YouTube, Instagram, X (Twitter)	Producing unboxing videos, camera comparisons, long-term review threads, fan artwork.	Peer recognition, creative expression, brand identity integration.
Active General Members	Telegram Groups, Instagram Comments	Participating in daily discussions, product launches watch parties, sharing user experiences.	Sense of belonging, emotional security, community validation.

Source: Qualitative Fieldwork Data and Netnographic Analysis (2026).

The first major thematic dimension to emerge from the data is the construction of brand loyalty through symbolic identification and extended self-concept. Rather than viewing smartphones as mere utility items, informants consistently articulate their choice of smartphone as a direct reflection of their personal values, socio-technical status, and intellectual orientation. For instance, members of budget-flagship enthusiast communities construct their brand loyalty around the narrative of being "smart consumers" who refuse to overpay for corporate branding, valuing price-to-performance efficiency as a personal virtue. Conversely, users within premium ecosystem communities construct their brand commitment around narratives of aesthetic refinement, seamless integration, and professional prestige. In both cases, owning and displaying a specific smartphone brand acts as a social badge that communicates personal identity to peers. Brand loyalty is thus constructed not as allegiance to a corporation, but as fidelity to the self-image that the brand represents within the social group.

This symbolic identification is continuously reinforced through the second major thematic finding: collective value co-creation and ritualistic community practices. Netnographic evidence demonstrates that smartphone fan communities sustain loyalty through organized, highly structured social rituals. These rituals include live watch parties for annual global product launch events, collective unboxing threads, camera photographic contests, and regional offline meetups known as "kopdar" (kopi darat). During product launch events, digital chat groups experience massive spikes in activity where members react in real time to feature announcements, collectively celebrating technological upgrades as shared victories. These shared rituals create a powerful sense of consciousness of kind and collective pride. Furthermore, members actively co-create brand value by assisting newcomers with software configuration, troubleshooting bugs, and sharing custom camera settings, transforming the brand experience from an isolated individual activity into a collaborative community project.

Table 2. Thematic Framework of Constructed Meanings of Brand Loyalty in Tech Communities

Emergent Theme	Qualitative Manifestations	Constructed Meaning of Loyalty
Symbolic Identification	Wearing brand merchandise, using custom wallpapers, framing brand choice as personal intelligence or prestige.	Loyalty as self-expression and social alignment with like-minded peers.
Ritualistic Participation	Attending launch watch parties, participating in regional meetups, contributing to troubleshooting guides.	Loyalty as active citizenship and belonging to a collective cultural movement.

Emergent Theme	Qualitative Manifestations	Constructed Meaning of Loyalty
Ideological Defense	Engaging in online debates ("brand wars"), rationalizing brand flaws, reframing technical issues as minor trade-offs.	Loyalty as moral duty, community protection, and cognitive consistency.
Ecosystem & Social Lock-in	Reluctance to leave peer networks, multi-device software synergy, cross-device file sharing habits.	Loyalty as structural habitus and preservation of social relationships.

Source: *Theoretical Coding of Qualitative Interview and Netnographic Data* (2026).

The third thematic pillar centers on ideological brand defense and cognitive shielding, colloquially referred to within online communities as participating in "brand wars." When rival brand enthusiasts or tech reviewers criticize a favored brand's hardware defects, software bugs, or price increases, community members instinctively rally together to defend the brand's reputation. The empirical data reveals that this defense mechanism operates through narrative reframing and cognitive rationalization. For example, if a smartphone brand experiences thermal throttling or software instability upon release, community members construct narratives that reframe these flaws as necessary compromises for groundbreaking innovation or temporary software bugs that will inevitably be fixed in future updates. Through collective peer discussions, negative experiences are neutralized, thereby shielding the individual consumer from cognitive dissonance and preventing brand switching. Protecting the brand's honor online is constructed as a moral duty to the community, as a blow to the brand's reputation is perceived as a direct challenge to the community's collective identity.

The fourth thematic finding highlights how ecosystem integration combines with social community bonds to create formidable switching barriers, redefining loyalty as structural and relational lock-in. Informants frequently report that while hardware specifications across competing manufacturers have reached near parity, moving away from a brand is rendered extremely difficult due to the combined pressure of software ecosystem continuity and social relationships. Beyond software features such as inter-device file transfer protocols, smart home connectivity, and cloud syncing, the social bond within the community acts as a primary anchor. Informants express deep reluctance to switch to a competitor because doing so would mean relinquishing their social status, friendships, and active roles within their fan community. Loyalty is therefore constructed not merely as product satisfaction, but as the preservation of a social ecosystem and a meaningful network of human relationships.

Table 3. Comparative Analysis of Meaning Construction Mechanics Across Different Community Types

Community Type	Primary Cultural Narrative	Key Rituals & Symbols	Defense Mechanism Against Competitors
Value-Focus Tech Enthusiasts	Democratization of technology, disruptive innovation, smart spending.	Flash sale countdowns, custom ROM flashing, benchmark score comparisons.	Highlighting overpriced competitor specifications and hardware inflation.
Premium Ecosystem Aficionados	Seamless productivity, luxury design, long-term software stability.	Ecosystem setup photos, minimalist desktop showcases, launch event live commentary.	Emphasizing superior resale value, build quality, and software longevity.
Niche & Performance Communities	Uncompromising raw power, gaming superiority, specialized hardware engineering.	Frame-rate testing logs, thermal cooling mods, specialized gaming accessory setups.	Dismissing mainstream brands as generic, underpowered, or commercially compromised.

Source: Netnographic Observation Across Indonesian Tech Platforms (2026).

To further examine the empirical nuances, a comparative analysis across different community types demonstrates that while the overarching social mechanics of meaning construction remain consistent, the specific narrative content varies significantly depending on the community's core ethos. Value-focused communities prioritize narratives of technological disruption and smart consumerism, constructing loyalty around hardware accessibility and user empowerment. Premium ecosystem communities construct loyalty around elegance, seamless cross-device workflows, and social prestige. Specialized performance and gaming communities construct loyalty around technical customization, raw benchmark achievements, and thermal performance optimizations. Despite these narrative variations, all three community types rely on the same underlying social architecture: collective validation, shared rituals, and identity alignment.

In summary, the results of this study demonstrate that brand loyalty in the smartphone sector is a rich, multi-layered construct born out of social interaction and symbolic exchange. Consumer devotion is not a static property generated by corporate marketing or feature checklists; rather, it is continuously co-created, sustained, and defended by active fan communities. Through rituals, shared identity, narrative rationalization, and deep social relationships, smartphone fan communities in Indonesia construct a form of brand loyalty that is resilient, highly vocal, and deeply embedded in the daily digital lives of its members.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that brand loyalty within smartphone fan communities is fundamentally a socio-cultural phenomenon rather than a static outcome of individual product evaluation or commercial marketing campaigns. Through qualitative netnographic investigation and in-depth informant interviews across active tech communities in Indonesia, the empirical evidence demonstrates that consumer commitment is continuously constructed, reinforced, and negotiated within dynamic digital spaces. The construction of brand loyalty relies on four primary social mechanics: symbolic identification that integrates brand choices into personal identity, collective rituals that build emotional solidarity, ideological defense mechanisms that shield the community from cognitive dissonance, and structural ecosystem lock-in combined with deep interpersonal bonds. Together, these elements transform routine brand consumption into a shared cultural identity and a resilient collective practice.

The theoretical contributions of this research extend current brand community literature by highlighting the role of active consumer agency in redefining loyalty within hyper-competitive high-technology sectors. Rather than remaining passive recipients of corporate branding, consumers actively co-create brand value, resolve product flaws through peer support networks, and establish informal social hierarchies that anchor individual retention. For brand managers and marketing practitioners in the technology industry, these findings emphasize the necessity of shifting strategic focus from transaction-oriented promotional tactics toward nurturing organic community ecosystems. Brands that successfully facilitate meaningful social interactions, respect community autonomy, and empower user advocacy are far better positioned to cultivate long-term, resilient brand devotion that withstands aggressive market competition and technological parity.

Future research should expand upon these insights by investigating how evolving cross-platform algorithms, artificial intelligence tools, and shifting global supply chain narratives impact community dynamics across broader consumer electronics sectors. Additionally, longitudinal studies examining how brand loyalty adapts when tech companies undergo major strategic pivots, software overhauls, or brand repositioning would provide deeper clarity into the boundaries of community resilience. Ultimately, understanding brand loyalty as a living, socially constructed culture offers both academic researchers and industry leaders a more profound framework for comprehending consumer behavior in the modern digital economy.

REFERENCES

- Belk, R. W. (2013). Extended self in a digital world. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 40(3), 477–500.
- Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Jurić, B., & Ilić, A. (2011). Customer engagement: Conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 14(3), 252–271.
- Dessart, L., Veloutsou, C., & Morgan-Thomas, A. (2015). Consumer engagement in online brand communities: A structural model. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 31(1-2), 28–53.
- Hollebeek, L. D., Glynn, M. S., & Brodie, R. J. (2014). Consumer brand engagement in social media: Conceptualization, scale development and validation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(2), 149–165.
- Kozinets, R. V. (2015). *Netnography: Redefined*. Sage Publications.
- Laroche, M., Habibi, M. R., & Richard, M. O. (2013). To be or not to be in social media: How brand community impacts brand trust and brand loyalty. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(1), 76–82.
- Muniz, A. M., & O'Guinn, T. C. (2001). Brand community. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(4), 412–432.
- Priadana, M. S., & Sunarsi, D. (2021). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif*. Pascal Books.
- Pugu, M. R., Riyanto, S., & Haryadi, R. N. (2024). *Metodologi penelitian: Konsep, strategi, dan aplikasi*. PT Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia.
- Schau, H. J., Muniz, A. M., & Arnould, E. J. (2009). How brand community practices create value. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(5), 30–51.
- Swaminathan, V., Sorescu, A., Steenkamp, J. B. E., O'Guinn, T. C., & Schmitt, B. (2020). Branding in a hyperconnected world: Refocusing research and practice on firm-customer socio-technical systems. *Journal of Marketing*, 84(2), 24–46.
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2016). Institutions and axioms: An extension and update of service-dominant logic. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 44(1), 5–23.